COVERAGE NAME : CITY90A

COVERAGE AREA: COUNTY

COVERAGE DESCRIPTION:

The 'CITY90A' layer contains 1990 Census Federal place code boundaries that have been clipped by the county tile outline. The Federal place codes define polygons that are cities or census designated places or are unclassified as to type.

VITAL STATISTICS:

Datum:	NAD 83
Projection:	Albers
Units:	Meters
1st Std. Parallel:	34 00 00 (34.0 degrees N)
2nd Std. Parallel:	40 30 00 (40.5 degrees N)
Longitude of Origin:	-120 00 00 (120.0 degrees W)
Latitude of Origin:	00 00 00 (0.0 degrees)
Latitude of Origin:	00 00 00
False Easting (X shift):	0
False Northing (Y shift):	-4,000,000
Source:	US Dep't of Commerce Census Bureau TIGER/Line
Source Media:	Magnetic Tape
Source Projection:	Geographic
Source Units:	Decimal degrees
Source Scale:	Unknown
Capture Method:	Unknown
Conversion Software:	ARC/INFO rev. 5.0.1
Data Structure:	Vector
ARC/INFO Coverage Type:	Polygon
ARC/INFO Precision:	Double
ARC/INFO Tolerances:	1 centimeter (.01 m)
Number of Features:	4,065
Layer Size:	6.445 MB
Data Updated:	September 1992

DATA DICTIONARY:

DATAFILE: CITY90A.PAT
RECORD LENGTH: 88

Non-standard POLYGON attribute fields:

COLUMN	ITEM NAME	WIDTH	OUTPUT	TYPE	N.DEC
25	FPLACO9	6	6	I	-
31	CP	4	4	C	-
35	SDA	9	9	C	-
44	FLAG	1	1	I	-
45	NAME	40	40	C	-
85	TYPE	4	4	C	-

NOTE: Items common to all POLYGON coverages: AREA, PERIMETER, CITY90A# and CITY90A-ID are not described here.

FPLACO9: Federal place code number (cross-refers to a field in the PL94-171 for place name).

CP: Census Bureau place code number.

SDA: Service Delivery Area (used by EDD).

FLAG: 1 equals city, 0 equals all other types.

NAME: Name of the place.

TYPE: Type of place, whether a city, a census designated place or blank. Valid values are blank, city, and CDP.

DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT:

The following are subjective comments regarding this data.

The current layer, based on TIGER data, is complete with respect to cities in California. It is TIGER-based and where TIGER is based on DLG, the accuracy is good; however, where TIGER uses GBF/DIME, the accuracy can be poor. The attribute accuracy is good. The polygons correspond well to the corporate boundaries seen in other map sources.